

## How do large hot orogens work? Lessons from the middle crust.

*Rebecca A. Jamieson, Department of Earth Sciences, Dalhousie University*

Large hot orogens are characterized by their broad areal extent, crustal thicknesses exceeding ca 60 km, central plateaux bounded by external thrust wedges, and internal temperatures high enough to promote widespread partial melting in the middle and lower crust. In these systems, melt-weakened middle crust can flow in response to a pressure gradient and/or underthrusting by a strong indenter, leading to lateral transport of ductile middle crust (infrastructure) relative to both cooler upper crust (superstructure) and stronger lower crust and upper mantle. The resulting dynamic behaviour and geological characteristics of large hot orogens are therefore fundamentally different from those of typical fold-and-thrust belts. The Mesoproterozoic Grenville Orogen of Ontario presents a superb opportunity to investigate the mid-crustal level of a large, hot, convergent orogenic system. Over the past 30 years, field, structural, petrological, and geochronological studies along the well-exposed Georgian Bay transect have illuminated the fundamental tectonic characteristics of the western end of the orogen. It was assembled by northwest-directed ductile thrusting towards the Archean foreland between ca 1100-1040 Ma, and reworked by ductile extension and flattening between 1040-1000 Ma. Widespread upper amphibolite- to granulite-facies assemblages record P-T conditions of 10-14 kb at 700-900°C. Protoliths were largely pre-1200 Ma Laurentian crust with some peri-Laurentian accreted terranes. We have also used 2D thermal-mechanical numerical models to investigate the geodynamic behaviour of the system. The results suggest that lateral flow of migmatite in the orogenic core was triggered by transport of strong lower crust beneath melt-weakened middle crust. Post-convergent flow was accompanied by ductile thinning and extension in the orogenic core and coeval thrusting on its flanks. While there is good first-order agreement between model predictions and observations, further testing against geological data is required. Comparison of model results with observations from the Grenville Orogen and similar systems suggests that significant volumes of Proterozoic orogenic crust may have been reworked by ductile lateral flow of middle crust.

### *Selected references:*

- Rivers, T., Culshaw, N., Hynes, A., Indares, A., Jamieson, R.A. & Martignole, J. (2012) The Grenville Orogen; in "Tectonic Styles in Canada: The Lithoprobe Perspective", J.A. Percival, F.A. Cook & R.M. Clowes (eds), Geological Association of Canada, Special Paper, 49, p. 97-236.
- Jamieson, R.A., Unsworth, M.J., Harris, N.G.W., Rosenberg, C. & Schulmann, K. (2011) Crustal melting and the flow of mountains. In "When the Continental Crust Melts" (edited by M Brown, E Sawyer, B Cesare), Elements 7, 253-260. doi: 10.2113/gselements.7.4.251
- Jamieson, R.A., Beaumont, C., Warren, C.J. & Nguyen, M.H. (2010) The Grenville Orogen explained? Applications and limitations of integrating numerical models with geological and geophysical data. In: "Lithoprobe – parameters, processes and the evolution of a continent" (Lithoprobe Synthesis Volume II, eds R M. Clowes & T Skulski), Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, 47, 517-539.
- Jamieson, R.A., Beaumont, C., Nguyen, M.H. & Culshaw, N.G. (2007) Synconvergent ductile flow in variable-strength continental crust: Numerical models with application to the western Grenville orogen, Tectonics, 26, TC5005, doi:10.1029/2006TC002036.